Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/510,393	EVERS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626
All Participants:	nts: Status of Application: <u>120</u>	
(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) <u>James B. Myers {Reg. No. 42,021}</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 April 2008	Time: <u>11:21am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 3 and 5-9  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner called Applicant's representative for permission to correct 35 USC 112 problems in the claims such as correcting antecedent basis problems in claims 3, 5, 6, and 9 and correcting proper Markush language format in claims 1, 7 and 8.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Laura L. Stockton/ Primary Examiner	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)